arXiv:1407.4674v1 [math.DS] 17 Jul 2014

CONSTRUCTION OF MINIMAL NON-INVERTIBLE SKEW-PRODUCT MAPS ON 2-MANIFOLDS

JAKUB ŠOTOLA AND SERGEI TROFIMCHUK

Abstract. Applying the Hric-Jäger blow up technique, we give an affirmative answer to the question about the existence of non-invertible minimal circle-fibered self-maps of the Klein bottle. In addition, we present a simpler construction of a non-invertible minimal self-map of two dimensional torus.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the minimal circle-fibered self-maps of two dimensional manifolds. We recall that the pair (X, f) consisting of a compact metric space X and its continuous endomorphism $f : X \to X$ is called a minimal dynamical system if X does not have any non-empty compact subset $X' \neq X$ satisfying $f(X') \subseteq X'$. The understanding of the structure of minimal systems has a clear importance for the discrete dynamics. During the last decades, much progress has been made in studying minimal subsystems of (M, f) in the case when M is a low dimensional compact connected manifold, e.g. see [1–14].

In particular, Auslander and Katznelson have proved [1] that the minimality of (M, f) together with $\dim M = 1$ implies that $M = S^1$ and that f is conjugate to an irrational rotation (hence, f is a homeomorphism). If $\dim M = 2$ then, due to the Blokh-Oversteegen-Tymchatyn theorem [3, 11], the minimal manifold M must be either the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ or the Klein bottle $\mathbb{K}^2$. It was also shown in [10] that, in contrast with the minimal system (S^1, f), there exist minimal fiber-preserving systems $(\mathbb{T}^2, f)$ which are not invertible. The key dynamical and topological components of the proof in [10] are, respectively, the Rees example [14] of a non-distal but point-distal torus homeomorphism and the Roberts-Steenrod characterisation [15] of the monotone transformations of 2-dimensional manifolds.

Since the available constructions [5, 13] of the minimal homemorphisms of the Klein bottle are technically quite involved, the similar question about the existence of minimal non-invertible self-maps of $\mathbb{K}^2$ has been left open in [3, 10, 11]. In fact, more complicated topology of the Klein bottle (a skew product of two circles) in comparison to the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ (a direct product of two circles) could potentially be an obstacle for the existence of minimal non-invertible self-maps of $\mathbb{K}^2$, cf. [12, Theorem C-11 and Corollary 1] and [4, 6]. Nevertheless, the main result of this paper shows that

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a fiber-preserving transformation $\widetilde{S}$ of the Klein bottle, which is minimal and non-invertible.*

Date: July 17, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54H20; Secondary 37B05.

Theorem 1.1 is proved in the next section of our work. The proof uses the Hric-Jäger blow up technique proposed recently in [7]. In the cited work, the authors also sketched a new construction of a non-distal but point-distal torus homeomorphism. We develop further their construction and adopt it to a more complicated topological situation. As a by-product, even without the use of the Roberts-Steenrod theory of monotone transformations of 2-dimensional manifolds, we are able to present a relatively short and explicit analytic construction of a fiber-preserving minimal non-invertible self-map of the two-torus, cf. [10].

2. Proof of the main theorem

Set $\mathbb{T}^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ then $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^1$. We will fix the positive orientation of $\mathbb{T}^1$ induced by the usual order on $[0,1)$. Each ordered pair (x,y) of points in $\mathbb{T}^1$ defines two closed subarcs of $\mathbb{T}^1$ whose endpoints are x and y. The arc obtained by moving a point from x to y in the positive direction will be denoted by $[x,y] \subset \mathbb{T}^1$. Hence, $[x,y] \cup [y,x] = \mathbb{T}^1$ so that $0.5 \in [0.25, 0.75]$ and $0 \in [0.75, 0.25]$. By slightly abusing the notation, we also will write $[0,1] = \mathbb{T}^1$, $[0,0.5] = [1,0.5]$ and $[0,0] = \{0\}$.

Next, consider the homeomorphism $P : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ defined by $P(x,y) = (x+1/2, 1-y)$. Let $\sim$ be an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{T}^2$ in which each point (x,y) is identified with all its images: $P^0(x,y) = (x,y)$ and $P(x,y)$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{K}^2$ denote the corresponding quotient map. The quotient space $\mathbb{K}^2$ is one of standard models of the Klein bottle. Notice that a transformation Q of the torus induces a transformation of the Klein bottle by the quotient map π if and only if Q commutes with P.

The desired minimal map $\widetilde{S} : \mathbb{K}^2 \to \mathbb{K}^2$ will be constructed as a factor of a minimal and non-invertible transformation $\widehat{S}$ of the torus. On the other hand, the map $\widehat{S}$ will be constructed as a topological extension of the Parry minimal homeomorphism $S : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ of the form $S(x,y) = (R(x), \sigma_x(y)) := (x+\alpha, y + r(x))$. Here $R(x)$ is a rotation by an irrational angle α and continuous function $r : \mathbb{T}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that $r(x) = -r(x+1/2)$ (i.e. S commutes with P). Moreover, the Fourier coefficients of $r(x)$ must satisfy several assumptions listed in [13]; in addition, we can choose them in such a way that $r(0) = r(1/2) = 0$, and $r(x) \in (0, 1/4)$ for all $x \in (0, 1/2)$. In the sequel, we will use the notation σ_x^n for the composition $\sigma_{R^{n-1}(x)} \circ \sigma_{R^{n-2}(x)} \circ \ldots \circ \sigma_{R(x)} \circ \sigma_x$.

Take now some point $x_1^* \in (0.1, 0.2) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, set $x_2^* = x_1^* + 1/2$ and then choose the points $z_1^* = (x_1^*, y_1^*)$ and $z_2^* = Pz_1^* = (x_2^*, y_2^*)$ in such a way that $y_j^* \neq \sigma^{-m}_{R^m(x_j^*)}(0), \sigma^{-m}_{R^m(x_j^*)}(-r(R^m(x_j^*))))$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then the S-orbits of z_1^*, z_2^* do not intersect curves $\mathbb{T}^1 \times \{0\}$ and $\{(x, -r(x)) | x \in \mathbb{T}^1\}$.

Let continuous $\psi, \phi : \mathbb{T}^1 \to \mathbb{T}^1$ have their graphs P-invariant and intersecting transversally at the points z_1^* and z_2^*, see Figure 1. We will choose ϕ, ψ in such a way that they take zero values (recall that 1 is identified with 0) in all points except for some neighbourhood $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2)$ of x_1^* and $(\bar{x}_1 + 1/2, \bar{x}_2 + 1/2)$ of x_2^*, respectively.

We define a fiber measure μ_x^0 on the σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of Borelian subsets of $\mathbb{T}^1$ as

$$\mu_x^0 := \begin{cases} \delta_{y_j^*}, & \text{if } x = x_j^*,\ j = 1,2, \\ \frac{\lambda|_{[\psi(x), \phi(x)]}}{\phi(x) - \psi(x)}, & \text{if } \phi(x) > \psi(x), \\ \frac{\lambda|_{[\phi(x), \psi(x)]}}{\psi(x) - \phi(x)}, & \text{if } \psi(x) > \phi(x), \end{cases}$$

where δ_y denotes a probabilistic Dirac measure concentrated at y (i.e. $\delta_y(y) = 1$) and $\lambda|_{[a,b]}(A)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of intersection of a measured set A



FIGURE 1. P-invariant graphs of ϕ (green) and ψ (red).

and the arc $[a,b]$. Consider also the measures $\mu_x^n,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}$, and μ_x on $\mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$\mu_x^n := \mu^0_{R^n(x)}\circ\sigma_x^n,\quad \mu_x := (\lambda+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}2^{-n-1}\mu_x^n)/2.$$

It is clear that $\mu_x(\mathbb{T}^1)=1$, $x\in\mathbb{T}^1$. In addition, μ inherits the symmetry properties of r,ϕ,ψ:

Lemma 2.1. *For all $x\in\mathbb{T}^1$ and $y\in[0,1]$, we have*

$$\mu_x[0,y] = 1-\mu_{x+\frac12}[0,1-y]. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. First we prove that, for all $x,y\in\mathbb{T}^1$, it holds

$$\mu^0_x[0,y] = 1-\mu^0_{x+\frac12}[0,1-y]. \tag{2.2}$$

For $x\notin E := [(\bar x_1,\bar x_2)\cup(\bar x_1+1/2,\bar x_2+1/2)]\setminus\{x_1^*,x_2^*\}$ (i.e. for the fibers with the usual Lebesgue or Dirac measures), this relation is immediate. Now, let $x\in E$ be such that $\phi(x)<\psi(x)$ and hence $\psi(x+1/2)=1-\psi(x)<\phi(x+1/2)=1-\phi(x)$. Then

$$\mu^0_{x+\frac12}[0,1-y] = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 0, & \text{if } 1-y<\psi\left(x+\frac12\right),\\ \frac{1-y-\psi\left(x+\frac12\right)}{\phi\left(x+\frac12\right)-\psi\left(x+\frac12\right)}, & \text{if } \psi\left(x+\frac12\right)\le 1-y\le\phi\left(x+\frac12\right),\\ 1, & \text{if } 1-y>\phi\left(x+\frac12\right);\end{array}\right\}$$
$$= \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 0, & \text{if } y>\psi(x),\\ \frac{\psi(x)-y}{\psi(x)-\phi(x)}, & \text{if } \phi(x)\le y\le\psi(x),\\ 1, & \text{if } y<\phi(x);\end{array}\right\} = \quad 1-\mu^0_x[0,y].$$

Similarly, (2.2) holds when $\phi(x)>\psi(x)$. Next, we claim that

$$\mu^0_x[a,b] = 1-\mu^0_{x+\frac12}[1-a,1-b],\ \text{for } a,b\in[0,1],\ a<b,\ [a,b]\in\mathbb{T}^1. \tag{2.3}$$

This is trivial for the fibers over x_1^* and x_2^*. For the rest of the fibers, (2.3) follows from (2.2) in view of

$$\mu^0_x[a,b] = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} \mu^0_x[0,b]-\mu^0_x[0,a], & \text{if } 0\le a\le b\le 1;\\ 1-\mu^0_x[0,a]+\mu^0_x[0,b], & \text{if } 0\le b<a\le 1.\end{array}\right.$$

Finally, we will show that the superscript 0 in (2.2) can be omitted. Indeed, set $\varrho_n(x) := r(x) + r(x+\alpha) + r(x+2\alpha) + \ldots + r(x+(n-1)\alpha)$. Then

$$\mu_{x+\frac{1}{2}}[0,1-y] = \sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}\mu^0_{R^n(x+\frac{1}{2})}\sigma^n_{x+\frac{1}{2}}[0,1-y] + (1-y)/2 =$$

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}\mu^0_{x+\frac{1}{2}+n\alpha}\left[\varrho_n\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right), 1-y+\varrho_n\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] + (1-y)/2 =$$

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}\mu^0_{x+n\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}[-\varrho_n(x), 1-y-\varrho_n(x)] + 1/2 - y/2 =$$

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}(1-\mu^0_{x+n\alpha}[1+\varrho_n(x), y+\varrho_n(x)]) + 1/2 - y/2 =$$

$$\textstyle\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2} + 1/2 - \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}\mu^0_{R^n(x)}\sigma^n_x[1,y] + y/2\right) = 1-\mu_x[0,y]. \quad \square$$

The next two results show that $\mu^n_x[0,y]$ and $\mu_x[0,y]$ have discontinuities only at the points from backward orbits of (x_1^*, y_1^*) and (x_2^*, y_2^*):

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{x_j\}, \{y_j\}, \{z_j\}$ be sequences in $\mathbb{T}^1$ which converge to x_0 and y_0, z_0, respectively. Then*

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \mu^0_{x_j}[y_j, z_j] \leq \mu^0_{x_0}[y_0, z_0].$$

Moreover, if $(x_0, y_0) \neq (x_k^*, y_k^*), k = 1, 2$, then

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty} \mu^0_{x_j}[y_j, z_j] \geq \mu^0_{x_0}[y_0, z_0).$$

Proof. Since the functions ϕ, ψ are continuous, this result is a straightforward consequence of the definition of μ_x. In fact, for each $x_0 \neq x_k^*$, we have that $\mu^0_{x_j}[y_j, z_j] \to \mu^0_{x_0}[y_0, z_0]$ as $j \to +\infty$. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. Let $\{x_j\}$ and $\{y_j\}$ converge to x_0 and y_0, respectively. Then

$$\mu^n_{x_0}[0,y_0) \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty} \mu^n_{x_j}[0,y_j] \leq \limsup_{j\to\infty} \mu^n_{x_j}[0,y_j] \leq \mu^n_{x_0}[0,y_0], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.4}$$

Furthermore,

$$\mu_{x_0}[0,y_0) \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty} \mu_{x_j}[0,y_j] \leq \limsup_{j\to\infty} \mu_{x_j}[0,y_j] \leq \mu_{x_0}[0,y_0].$$

Proof. Since $\sigma,\ R$ are continuous functions and, by our assumption, $(R^n(x), \sigma^n_x(0)) \neq (x_k^*, y_k^*), k = 1, 2$, for each $x \in \mathbb{T}^1,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\mu^n_{x_0}[0,y_0) =$

$$= \mu^0_{R^n(x_0)}[\sigma^n_{x_0}(0), \sigma^n_{x_0}(y_0)) \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty} \mu^0_{R^n(x_j)}[\sigma^n_{x_j}(0), \sigma^n_{x_j}(y_j)] \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty} \mu^n_{x_j}[0,y_j].$$

The proof of the second inequality in (2.4) is similar. Finally, by a direct calculation we get the following:

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}\mu^n_{x_j}[0,y_j] + y_j/2\right) \leq \sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}\mu^n_{x_0}[0,y_0] + y_0/2 = \mu_{x_0}[0,y_0],$$

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty} \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}\mu^n_{x_j}[0,y_j] + y_j/2\right) \geq \sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2}\mu^n_{x_0}[0,y_0) + y_0/2 = \mu_{x_0}[0,y_0).$$

Hence, if $\mu_x[0,y]$ is discontinuous at some point (x_0, y_0) then $\mu_{x_0}\{y_0\} > 0$. $\square$

Following [7], we consider continuous fiber-preserving selfmap $T : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ defined by $T(x,y) := (x, \tau_x(y))$, where

$$\tau_x(y) := \min\{y' \in [0,1] | \mu_x[0,y'] \geq y\}.$$

The existence of this minimum can be deduced, for example, from Corollary 2.3. It is clear that $\tau_x(0) = 0$ and $\tau_x(1) = 1$ because, for all small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mu_x[0, 1-\epsilon] = 1 - \mu_{x+\frac{1}{2}}[0,\epsilon] = 1 - \sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2} \mu^n_{x+\frac{1}{2}}[0,\epsilon] - \epsilon/2 < 1.$$

Obviously, $\tau_x(y)$ is an increasing function of $y \in (0,1)$. Now, let $\mathrm{Orb}_R(x) = \{R^j(x),\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ [respectively, $\mathrm{Orb}^-_R(x) = \{R^j(x),\ j \leq 0\}$] denote the full [respectively, backward] orbit of a point $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$. As we have proved, $\mu_x[0,y]$ is continuous at each point (x,y) where $x \notin \mathfrak{O}^- := \mathrm{Orb}^-_R(x^*_1) \cup \mathrm{Orb}^-_R(x^*_2)$. Therefore

$$\mu_x[0, \tau_x(y)] = y \text{ if } x \notin \mathfrak{O}^- \tag{2.5}$$

that implies $\tau_x(y_1) < \tau_x(y_2)$ for all $0 < y_1 < y_2 \leq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}^1 \setminus \mathfrak{O}^-$.

Lemma 2.4. *The map $T : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is continuous and surjective. Moreover, T commutes with P and is invertible on the set $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus (\mathfrak{O}^- \times \mathbb{T}^1)$.*

Proof. It is clear that T is continuous if and only if $\tau_x(y)$ is a continuous function of x, y. So, take some (x_0, y_0) and consider sequences $x_j \to x_0$ and $y_j \to y_0$. From the definition of τ it holds $\mu_{x_j}[0, \tau_{x_j}(y_j)] \geq y_j$. Suppose that $\tau_{x_j}(y_j)$ converges to some limit point z. Then Corollary 2.3 yields $\tau_{x_0}(y_0) \leq z = \lim_{j\to\infty} \tau_{x_j}(y_j)$. Suppose for a moment that $\tau_{x_0}(y_0) < z$ and take $\delta > 0$ such that $\tau_{x_0}(y_0) + \delta < \tau_{x_j}(y_j)$ for all large j. Then

$$\mu_{x_0}[0, \tau_{x_0}(y_0) + \delta) = \sum_{n\geq 0} 2^{-n-2} \mu^n_{x_0}[0, \tau_{x_0}(y_0) + \delta) + (\tau_{x_0}(y_0) + \delta)/2 \geq y_0 + \delta/2$$

and therefore, due to Corollary 2.3, we have for all large j that

$$y_j < y_0 + 0.25\delta < \mu_{x_0}[0, \tau_{x_0}(y_0) + \delta) \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty} \mu_{x_j}[0, \tau_{x_0}(y_0) + \delta].$$

As a consequence, $\tau_{x_j}(y_j) \leq \tau_{x_0}(y_0) + \delta$ for all large j, a contradiction. Hence, $\tau_{x_0}(y_0) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \tau_{x_j}(y_j)$ and $\tau_x(y)$ is continuous.

Now, since $\tau_x(y)$ depends continuously on x, y and $\tau_x(0) = 0$ and $\tau_x(1) = 1$, we obtain that $\tau_x(\mathbb{T}^1) = \mathbb{T}^1$. In addition, if $x \notin \mathfrak{O}^-$ then $\tau_x(y)$ is a strictly increasing function of y and therefore T is invertible on $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus (\mathfrak{O}^- \times \mathbb{T}^1)$.

Next, since

$$(T \circ P)(x,y) = \left(x + \frac{1}{2}, \tau_{x+\frac{1}{2}}(1-y)\right),\ (P \circ T)(x,y) = \left(x + \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \tau_x(y)\right),$$

we find that T commutes with P if and only if

$$\tau_{x+\frac{1}{2}}(1-y) = 1 - \tau_x(y) \text{ for all } x, y.$$

Now, for $x \in \mathbb{T}^1 \setminus \mathfrak{O}^-$ it holds that

$$1 = \mu_x[0, \tau_x(y)] + \mu_{x+\frac{1}{2}}[0, 1 - \tau_x(y)] = y + \mu_{x+\frac{1}{2}}[0, 1 - \tau_x(y)],$$

so that

$$\mu_{x+\frac{1}{2}}[0, 1 - \tau_x(y)] = 1 - y = \mu_{x+\frac{1}{2}}[0, \tau_{x+\frac{1}{2}}(1-y)].$$

Thus T commutes with P on $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus (\mathfrak{O}^- \times \mathbb{T}^1)$. Finally, if $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \notin \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus (\mathfrak{O}^- \times \mathbb{T}^1)$ we can find a sequence of points $(x_j, y_j) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus (\mathfrak{O}^- \times \mathbb{T}^1)$ such that $(x_j, y_j) \to (\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ as $j \to +\infty$. But then

$$T \circ P(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \lim_{j \to +\infty} T \circ P(x_j, y_j) = \lim_{j \to +\infty} P \circ T(x_j, y_j) = P \circ T(\hat{x}, \hat{y}).$$

This completes the proof. □

We are ready to construct the non-invertible minimal map $\widehat{S} : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$. Defining $\widehat{S}$ on the set $\Lambda := \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus (\mathfrak{O} \times \mathbb{T}^1)$, where $\mathfrak{O} := \mathrm{Orb}_R(x_1^*) \cup \mathrm{Orb}_R(x_2^*)$, by

$$\widehat{S}|_\Lambda := T^{-1}|_\Lambda \circ S|_\Lambda \circ T|_\Lambda,$$

we will extend it continuously on the whole torus.

Lemma 2.5. *For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\theta_n(x) := \mu_x^n[-r(x), 0]$ is continuous on $\mathbb{T}^1$.*

Proof. We observe that $\theta_n(0) = \theta_n(1/2) = \theta_n(1) = 0$ and thus it suffices to establish the continuity of θ_n on the arcs $[0, 1/2]$ and $[1/2, 1]$ of $\mathbb{T}^1$ separately. For instance, consider the arc $[0, 1/2]$ where $-r(x) \leq 0$ so that $\theta_n(x) = 1 - \mu_x^n[0, 1 - r(x)]$. We recall that, by our assumptions, none of the points $(R^n(x), \sigma_x^n(1 - r(x))) = (R^n(x), \sigma_x^n(-r(x)))$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, coincided with (x_1^*, y_1^*) and (x_2^*, y_2^*) and therefore the function $\mu_x^n[0, y]$ is continuous at each point of the form $(x, y) = (x, 1 - r(x))$. In consequence, the map $x \to \theta_n(x)$, $x \in [0, 1/2]$ is continuous as composition of two continuous applications: $x \to (x, 1 - r(x)), x \in [0, 1/2]$, and $(x, y) \to 1 - \mu_x^n[0, y]$, $(x, y) \in \{(x, 1 - r(x)) : x \in [0, 1/2]\}$. □

Next, set $\Lambda_- := \Lambda \cap \big([0, 1/2] \times \mathbb{T}^1\big)$ and $\Lambda_+ := \Lambda \cap \big([1/2, 1] \times \mathbb{T}^1\big)$.

Lemma 2.6. *The map $\widehat{S}$ is uniformly continuous on Λ_-.*

Proof. It follows from (2.5) that $\tau_x^{-1}(y) = \mu_x[0, y]$ for each pair $(x, y) \in \Lambda$. Hence,

$$\widehat{S}(x, y) = (T^{-1} \circ S \circ T)(x, y) = (R(x), \mu_{R(x)}[0, \sigma_x(\tau_x(y))]), \ (x, y) \in \Lambda.$$

Since $R : \mathbb{T}^1 \to \mathbb{T}^1$ is continuous, we need only to prove the uniform continuity of $M(x, y) := \mu_{R(x)}[0, \sigma_x(\tau_x(y))]$ on Λ_-. This task can be simplified if we observe that, due to the Weierstrass M-test and Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, the function $W(x, y) := \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-n-2} \mu_x^{n+1}[-r(x), 0] + \sigma_x(\tau_x(y))/2$ is continuous on $\mathbb{T}^2$ while

$$M(x, y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\mu_{R(x)}^n[0, \sigma_x(\tau_x(y))]}{2^{n+2}} + \sigma_x(\tau_x(y))/2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\mu_x^{n+1}[0, \tau_x(y)]}{2^{n+2}} + W(x, y).$$

Here we are using the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{R(x)}^n[0, \sigma_x(\tau_x(y))] &= \mu^0_{R^n(R(x))} \circ \sigma_{R^{n-1}(R(x))} \circ \ldots \circ \sigma_{R(R(x))} \circ \sigma_{R(x)}[0, \sigma_x(\tau_x(y))] = \\ &= \mu^0_{R^{n+1}(x)} \circ \sigma_{R^n(x)} \circ \ldots \circ \sigma_{R(x)} \circ \sigma_x[\sigma_x^{-1}(0), \tau_x(y)] = \\ &= \mu_x^{n+1}[-r(x), \tau_x(y)] = \mu_x^{n+1}[-r(x), 0] + \mu_x^{n+1}[0, \tau_x(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall also that $\sigma_x(y) = y + r(x)$, $\mu_x^n\{0\} = 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that $0 \in [-r(x), \tau_x(y)]$ because of non-negativity of $r(x)$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$.

In consequence, it suffices to establish the uniform continuity of the function $A(x, y) := \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-n-2} \mu_x^{n+1}[0, \tau_x(y)]$ on Λ_-. In other words, we have to prove that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1/2] \setminus \mathfrak{O}$

and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1$ satisfying $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ and $d(y_1, y_2) < \delta$ it holds that $\mathfrak{a} := d(A(x_1, y_1), A(x_2, y_2)) < \epsilon$. Here d denotes the metric on $\mathbb{T}^1$ naturally inherited from $\mathbb{R}$. In particular, d is shift-invariant. Observe also that we interpret $A(x, y)$ as a point on $\mathbb{T}^1$. Set $s_n := \mu^n_{x_1}[0, \tau_{x_1}(y_1)] - \mu^n_{x_2}[0, \tau_{x_2}(y_2)]$. Since $y_k = \mu_{x_k}[0, \tau_{x_k}(y_k)]$, we find that

$$\mathfrak{b} := d(y_1, y_2) = d\left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{s_n}{2^{n+2}} + (\tau_{x_1}(y_1) - \tau_{x_2}(y_2))/2,\ 0\right), \mathfrak{a} = d\left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{s_{n+1}}{2^{n+2}},\ 0\right).$$

So let us take arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists N_1 for which

$$\sum_{n\geq N_1} 2^{-n-2} < \frac{\epsilon}{16}, \quad \text{so that} \quad \sum_{n\geq N_1} d(2^{-n-2}s_{n+1}, 0) < \frac{\epsilon}{16}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^n_\kappa$ denote open $\kappa-$ neighbourhood of the set $\{R^{-n}(x^*_1), R^{-n}(x^*_2)\}$. Due to Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, the function $\mu^n_x[0, \tau_x(y)] : (\mathbb{T}^1 \setminus \{\mathcal{U}^n_\kappa\}) \times \mathbb{T}^1 \to \mathbb{T}^1$ is uniformly continuous for each n. We can choose κ to be small enough in order to have the closures of $N_1 + 1$ sets $\mathcal{U}^n_{2\kappa}$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N_1$, mutually disjoint. Obviously, each function from the finite set $\{\tau_x(y),\ \mu^n_x[0, \tau_x(y)], n = 0, 1, \ldots, N_1\}$, is uniformly continuous on $(\mathbb{T}^1 \setminus \{\cup_{n=0}^{N_1} \mathcal{U}^n_\kappa\}) \times \mathbb{T}^1$.

Take now $\delta \in (0, \min\{\epsilon/16, \kappa\})$ small enough to assure that $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$, $d(y_1, y_2) < \delta$ imply the inequality

$$d(\tau_{x_2}(y_2), \tau_{x_1}(y_1)) < \epsilon/8$$

as well as

(i) the existence of at most one integer $n_0 \in [0, N_1]$ such that $\{x_1, x_2\} \cap \mathcal{U}^{n_0}_{2\kappa} \neq \emptyset$;

(ii) $d(2^{-n-2}s_n, 0) < \epsilon/(16(N_1+1))$ once $\{x_1, x_2\} \cap \cup_{n=0}^{N_1} \mathcal{U}^n_\kappa = \emptyset$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N_1$.

A key observation is that in the case (i) the distance $d(2^{-n_0-2}s_{n_0}, 0)$ cannot be large even when $\{x_1, x_2\} \cap \cup_{n=0}^{N_1} \mathcal{U}^n_\kappa \neq \emptyset$:

$$d(2^{-n_0-2}s_{n_0}, 0) \leq \mathfrak{b} + d\left(\sum_{n=0, n\neq n_0}^{N_1} 2^{-n-2}s_n, 0\right) + d\left(\sum_{n>N_1} 2^{-n-2}s_n, 0\right) +$$
$$+ d(\tau_{x_1}(y_1) - \tau_{x_2}(y_2), 0)/2 < \frac{\epsilon}{16} + (N_1 + 1)\frac{\epsilon}{16(N_1 + 1)} + \frac{\epsilon}{16} + \frac{\epsilon}{16} = \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Thus, estimating separately the term $d(2^{-n_0-1}s_{n_0}, 0)$ (whenever n_0 with properties described in (i) appears) as $d(2^{-n_0-1}s_{n_0}, 0) < \epsilon/2$, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{a} = d\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_{n+1}}{2^{n+2}},\ 0\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + d\left(\sum_{n<N_1, n\neq n_0} \frac{s_{n+1}}{2^{n+2}}, 0\right) + d\left(\sum_{n\geq N_1} \frac{s_{n+1}}{2^{n+2}}, 0\right) <$$

$\epsilon/2 + 2N_1\epsilon/(16(N_1 + 1)) + \epsilon/16 < \epsilon$, which completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.7. The map $\widehat{S}|_\Lambda$ commutes with P, is uniformly continuous on Λ and it admits a unique continuous extension $\widehat{S}$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ which also commutes with P.

Proof. Observe that all maps $T, P, S, \widehat{S} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ are bijective and $P(\Lambda_+) = (\Lambda_-)$. First, we will prove that $\widehat{S}|_\Lambda$ commutes with P. Clearly,

$$(S \circ T \circ P)(z) = (S \circ P \circ T)(z) = (P \circ S \circ T)(z),\ z \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

so that, for $z \in \Lambda$,

$$(T \circ \widehat{S} \circ P)(z) = (S \circ T \circ P)(z) = (P \circ S \circ T)(z) = (P \circ T \circ \widehat{S})(z) = (T \circ P \circ \widehat{S})(z).$$

But T is injective on Λ and therefore $(P \circ \widehat{S})(z) = (\widehat{S} \circ P)(z)$ for each $z \in \Lambda$. Hence, since P, P^{-1} are linear maps, $\widehat{S}|_{\Lambda_+} = P^{-1} \circ \widehat{S}|_{\Lambda_-} \circ P|_{\Lambda_+}$ is also uniformly continuous. As the maps $\widehat{S}|_{\Lambda^-}$ and $\widehat{S}|_{\Lambda^+}$ are uniformly continuous and Λ^- is dense in $[0, 1/2] \times \mathbb{T}^1$ and Λ^+ is dense in $[1/2, 0] \times \mathbb{T}^1$, they can be uniquely continuously extended to the sets $[0, 1/2] \times \mathbb{T}^1$ or $[1/2, 0] \times \mathbb{T}^1$, respectively. Since these maps coincide on the intersection $\{0, 1/2\} \times \mathbb{T}^1 = ([0, 1/2] \times \mathbb{T}^1) \cap ([1/2, 0] \times \mathbb{T}^1)$, they define a continuous self-map $\widehat{S} : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$. Clearly, since $\widehat{S}|_\Lambda$ commutes with P, the set Λ is dense in $\mathbb{T}^2$ and the functions $\widehat{S}, P$ are continuous on T^2, we obtain that $(P \circ \widehat{S})(z) = (\widehat{S} \circ P)(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Similarly, $T \circ \widehat{S} = S \circ T$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$. □

Lemma 2.8. *The map $\widehat{S} : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is minimal and non-invertible.*

Proof. Let $F \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ be a non-empty compact and $\widehat{S}$-invariant set. But then

$$S \circ T(F) = T \circ \widehat{S}(F) \subseteq T(F).$$

So, $T(F)$ is also compact S-invariant set, which means $T(F) = \mathbb{T}^2$ because S is minimal. But since fibers are mapped to fibers and T is bijective on Λ, the set F must contain whole Λ. But then $\bar{F} \supseteq \bar{\Lambda} = \mathbb{T}^2$ and therefore $\widehat{S}$ is a minimal map.

Finally, we prove that $\widehat{S}$ is non-invertibile. For $\delta \in (0, 0.25)$, consider the points $y_1 = \mu_{x_1^*}[0, y_1^*] > y_2 = \mu_{x_1^*}[0, y_1^*] - \delta$ on the circle $\mathbb{T}^1$. For every $y' < y_1^*$, we have that

$$\mu_{x_1^*}[0, y'] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^0_{R^n(x_1^*)} \sigma_x^n[0, y']}{2^{n+2}} + y'/2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^0_{R^n(x_1^*)} \sigma_x^n[0, y']}{2^{n+2}} + y'/2 <$$

$$< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^0_{R^n(x_1^*)} \sigma_x^n[0, y_1^*]}{2^{n+2}} + y_1^*/2 + 0.25 - \delta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^0_{R^n(x_1^*)} \sigma_x^n[0, y_1^*]}{2^{n+2}} + y_1^*/2 - \delta = y_2.$$

This yields immediately that $\tau_{x_1^*}(y_1) = y_1^* = \tau_{x_1^*}(y_2)$ and therefore

$$T \circ \widehat{S}(x_1^*, y_1) = S(x_1^*, y_1^*) = (R(x_1^*), \sigma_{x_1^*}(y_1^*)) = T \circ \widehat{S}(x_1^*, y_2).$$

Since T is invertible on the fiber over $\{R(x_1^*)\}$, we find that $\widehat{S}(x_1^*, y_1) = \widehat{S}(x_1^*, y_2)$ and therefore the non-degenerated interval $\{x_1^*\} \times [y_1 - 0.25, y_1]$ is transformed by $\widehat{S}$ into a point. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. So far we have obtained a non-invertible minimal self-map $\widehat{S}$ of the torus. Since $\widehat{S}$ commutes with P, it induces a transformation $\widetilde{S}$ of the Klein bottle. $\widetilde{S}$ is a factor of $\widehat{S}$ by the fiber-preserving quotient map π, so $\widetilde{S}$ is a non-invertible minimal fiber-preserving transformation $\widehat{S}$ of the Klein bottle. The construction is completed. □

Remark 2.9. After changing the definition of μ_x (where an appropriate weighted sum of *all measures* μ_x^n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, should be considered), we can similarly construct a minimal circle-fibered homeomorphism of the Klein bottle having an asymptotic pair of points. Then the Roberts-Steenrod theory [15] of monotone transformations of 2-dimensional manifolds can applied in order to obtain a different proof of Theorem 1.1, see [10] for more detail.

3. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ľubomír Snoha and Roman Hric for valuable conversations. The first author was supported by Project SGS 2/2013 from the Silesian University in Opava. Support of this institution is gratefully acknowledged. The second author was partially supported by FONDECYT (Chile), project 1110309. This work was done during the second author sabbatical leave from the University of Talca and his research stay at the Mathematical Institute of the Silesian University in Opava. Sergei Trofimchuk acknowledges the hospitality of this Mathematical Institute.

References

1. J. Auslander and Y. Katznelson, *Continuous maps of the circle without periodic points*, Israel J. Math. **32** (1979), 375–381.
2. F. Béguin, S. Crovisier, T. Jäger and F. Le Roux, *Denjoy constructions for fibreed homeomorphisms of the torus,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), 5851–5883.
3. A. Blokh, L. Oversteegen and E. D. Tymchatyn, *On minimal maps of 2-manifolds*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **25**, (2005) 41–57.
4. M. Dirbák and P. Maličký, *On the construction of non-invertible minimal skew products,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **375** (2011), 436–442.
5. R. Ellis, *The construction of minimal discrete flows*, Amer. J. Math. **87**, (1965) 564–574.
6. S. Glasner and B. Weiss, *On the construction of minimal skew products*, Israel J. Math. **34** (1979), 321–336.
7. R. Hric and T. Jäger, *A construction of almost automorphic minimal sets,* Israel J. Math., to appear (2014).
8. W. Huang and Y. Yi, *Almost periodically forced circle flows*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), 832–902.
9. T. Jäger, F. Kwakkel and A. Passeggi, *A classification of minimal sets of torus homeomorphisms,* Math. Zeitshrift **274** (2013), 405–426.
10. S. Kolyada, Ľ. Snoha, S. Trofimchuk, *Noninvertible minimal maps*, Fund. Math. **168** (2001), 141–163.
11. S. Kolyada, Ľ. Snoha, S. Trofimchuk, *Proper minimal sets on compact connected* 2*-manifolds are nowhere dense*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **28** (2008), 863–876.
12. S. Kolyada, Ľ. Snoha, S. Trofimchuk, *Minimal sets of fibre-preserving maps in graph bundles*, Math. Zeitschrift, doi:10.1007/s00209-014-1327-1
13. W. Parry, *A note on cocycles in ergodic theory*, Contemp. Math. **28** (1974), 343–350.
14. M. Rees, *A point distal transformation of the torus*, Israel J. Math. **32** (1979), 201–208.
15. J. H. Roberts and N. E. Steenrod, *Monotone transformations of two-dimensional manifolds*, Annals of Math. **39** (1938), 851–862.

Mathematical Institute, Silesian University in Opava, Na Rybníčku 1, 746 01, Opava, Czech Republic

E-mail address: Jakub.Sotola@math.slu.cz

Mathematical Institute, Silesian University in Opava, Na Rybníčku 1, 746 01, Opava, Czech Republic

Current address: Instituto de Matemática y Fisica, Universidad de Talca, Casilla 747, Talca, Chile

E-mail address: trofimch@inst-mat.utalca.cl